

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

MAR 05 2014

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>ADAM RICHARDS; SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION; CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC.; BRETT STEWART,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs - Appellants,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>ED PRIETO; COUNTY OF YOLO,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants - Appellees.</p>
--

No. 11-16255

D.C. No. 2:09-cv-01235-MCE-
DAD

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Morrison C. England, Chief District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted December 6, 2012
San Francisco, California

Before: O’SCANNLAIN, THOMAS, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Plaintiffs Adam Richards, Brett Stewart, the Second Amendment Foundation, and the Calguns Foundation (collectively, “Richards”) brought an action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendants Yolo County and its Sheriff, Ed

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

Prieto (collectively, “Prieto”), alleging that the Yolo County policy for issuing concealed-carry permits violates the Second Amendment. Specifically, Richards argues that Yolo County’s policy, in light of the California regulatory regime as a whole, abridges the Second Amendment right to bear arms because its definition of “good cause”¹ prevents a responsible, law-abiding citizen from carrying a handgun in public for the lawful purpose of self-defense. On cross-motions for summary judgment, the district court concluded that Yolo County’s policy did not infringe Richard’s Second Amendment rights. It thus denied Richard’s motion for summary judgment and granted Prieto’s.

In light of our disposition of the same issue in *Peruta v. County of San Diego*, No. 10-56971, — F.3d — (Feb. 13, 2014), we conclude that the district court in this case erred in denying Richard’s motion for summary judgment because the Yolo County policy impermissibly infringes on the Second Amendment right to bear arms in lawful self-defense.

REVERSED and REMANDED.

¹ Yolo County’s policy provides that “self protection and protection of family (without credible threats of violence)” are “invalid reasons” for requesting a permit.